1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	2 (* 12	
4	i.	2011 - 10:07 a.m. REDACTED
5	Concord, New	Hampshire FOR PUBLIC USE
6		
7	RE:	UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.:
8		Default Service for the period February 1, 2012 through
9		April 30, 2012 for the Large Customer (G1) requirements.
10		
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Clifton C. Below
12		Sandy Deno, Clerk
13		
14	APPEARANCES:	1 3 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
15		Gary M. Epler, Esq.
16		Reptg. PUC Staff:
17		Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.
18		
19		
20		NHPUC FEB29'12 AM 8:07
21		管理性 音音
22		
23	Con	ert Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		REDACTED - FOR DIBLIC USE

1	
2	INDEX
3	PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: TODD M. BOHAN LINDA S. MCNAMARA
5	HINDA D. MCNAMAKA
6	Direct examination by Mr. Epler 5
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Amidon 14
8	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Below 24
9	
10	
11	* * *
12	
13	
14	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: PAGE NO.
15	Ms. Amidon 26
16	Mr. Epler 27
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	7	Petition for Approval of Default Service Solicitation and Proposed	5
5		Default Service Tariffs (12-09-11)
6	8	Tab A Confidential Attachment (12-09-11)	5
7		{CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
8	9	Amendment to Settlement Agreement regarding Docket DE 09-009	5
9		(12-06-11)	
10	10	RESERVED (RE: Financial Security Requirement)	20
11		{CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	PROCEEDING	
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning,	
3	everyone. We'll open the hearing in Docket DE 11-028. On	
4	December 9, 2011, Unitil filed a petition for approval of	
5	its Default Service solicitation and proposed Default	
6	Service tariff for the three month period beginning	
7	February 1, 2012 for 100 percent of its Default Service	
8	for its G1 customers. A secretarial letter was issued on	
9	December 12 setting the hearing for this morning.	
10	And, can we take appearances please.	
11	MR. EPLER: Yes. Good morning, Mr.	
12	Chairman and Commissioner. Gary Epler, on behalf of	
13	Unitil Energy Systems, Incorporated. Thank you.	
14	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.	
15	MS. AMIDON: Good morning. Suzanne	
16	Amidon, for Commission Staff. With me today is Grant	
17	Siwinski, an Analyst in the Electric Division.	
18	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. Are you	
19	ready to proceed, Mr. Epler?	
20	MR. EPLER: Yes, I am, Mr. Chairman. We	
21	have a few documents that I'd like premarked. The first,	

{DE 11-028} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] $\{12-14-11\}$

in the green binder, I believe at this point we're up to

Exhibit 7 in this docket. So, that would be "Exhibit 7".

The confidential material, "Exhibit 8". And, then, there

22

23

5

```
1
       is a one-sheet -- two-sided one-sheet "Amendment to
       Settlement Agreement" that I'd like marked "Exhibit 9".
 2
 3
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.
 4
                         MR. EPLER: And, I may not have put that
 5
       in front of Commissioner Below's chair, because I didn't
 6
       realize he was --
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. The exhibits are
 8
       so marked.
                         (The documents, as described, were
 9
10
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 7, Exhibit 8,
11
                         and Exhibit 9, respectively, for
                         identification.)
12
13
                         MR. EPLER: And, could the witnesses be
14
       sworn please.
15
                         (Whereupon Todd M. Bohan and
16
                         Linda S. McNamara were duly sworn by the
17
                         Court Reporter.)
18
                         TODD M. BOHAN, SWORN
19
                       LINDA S. McNAMARA, SWORN
20
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
     BY MR. EPLER:
22
          Okay. Can you please state your name?
23
          (Bohan) Todd M. Bohan.
24
          And, for who are you employed and in what position?
```

1 A. (Bohan) I'm employed with Unitil Service Corp. as an
2 Energy Analyst in the Energy Contracts Department.

6

- Q. Okay. You may want to speak a little closer to the mike.
- 5 A. (Bohan) How's that?
- Q. Thank you. As this is your first time testifying in a
 Default Service proceeding, could you briefly describe
 your responsibilities and expertise in this area.
- 9 (Bohan) Certainly. My primary responsibilities involve Α. 10 electric market operations. I have administrative 11 responsibilities for daily and monthly electric reporting requirements and electric market contracts 12 13 for Default Service supplies, including procurement, 14 cost estimation, and reconciliation. And, in addition, 15 I recently assumed the responsibilities associated with 16 the competitive electric suppliers of Unitil.
 - Q. And, Mr. Bohan, as indicated in your testimony, you have both a Master's and a Doctorate in Economics from Clark University, is that correct?
- 20 A. (Bohan) That is correct.

17

18

19

Q. Thank you. Can you please turn to the documents that
have been premarked for identification as -- well,
first, Exhibit Number 7, and if you can turn to the
tabs labeled "Exhibit TMB-1" and "Schedules TMB-1"

through "6". And, then, also refer to the exhibit

- 2 marked as "Exhibit Number 8", the confidential
- material, and the pages there 1 through 45. Were these

- 4 prepared by or under your direction?
- 5 A. (Bohan) Yes, they were.
- Q. And, do you have any changes, updates or corrections to
- 7 the information in these documents?
- 8 A. (Bohan) Yes, I do. If we could turn to Page 5 of my
- 9 testimony, which, in Exhibit 7, is also Bates stamp
- Number 005. Line 17 and 18, the sentence reads, at the
- bottom of the page, "The report provides a rolling
- 12 12-month history which covers the period from
- December 2010 through November 2011." That time period
- should read "November 2010 through October 2011".
- 15 Q. Okay. And, any other changes?
- 16 A. (Bohan) I have no other changes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Thank you. With those changes, if I were to ask
- 18 you the same questions today as those contained in your
- 19 | prefiled testimony, would your answers be the same?
- 20 A. (Bohan) Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. I now turning to the next witness.
- 22 Please state your name.
- 23 A. (McNamara) Linda S. McNamara.
- Q. And, where are you employed and in what position?

[WITNESS PANEL: Bohan & McNamara]

- 1 A. (McNamara) I work for Unitil Service Corp. as a Senior
 2 Regulatory Analyst.
- Q. Okay. And, could you also please turn to the documents that have been marked as "Exhibit Number 7" and "Number 8". And, in number 7, could you turn to the tabs marked "Exhibit LSM-1" and the Schedules marked "LSM-1" through "4". And, then, in Exhibit Number 8, the last page in that exhibit, stamp page 046. Were these prepared by you or under your direction?
- 10 A. (McNamara) Yes.
- Q. And, do you have any changes or corrections to this material?
- 13 A. (McNamara) No.
- Q. And, if I were to ask you the same questions today as those contained in your prefiled testimony, would your answers be the same?
- 17 A. (McNamara) They would.
- Q. Okay. Turning back now to Mr. Bohan. Could you please briefly describe the process Unitil used to solicit the Default Service power for the G1 class for the three-month period February 1, 2012 through April 2012?

 A. (Bohan) Yes. First of all, on November 8th, we issued
- A. (Bohan) Yes. First of all, on November 8th, we issued
 our RFP. And, that was for the three-month period
 commencing February 1st, 2012. Communication of that

1 RFP was made to a list of 30 suppliers that had 2 previously expressed interest in receiving UES's 3 solicitations. In addition to that, the RFP was communicated through an industry publication, Megawatt 4 5 Daily. And, during the solicitation process, UES 6 contacted potential bidders through regular telephone 7 and e-mail communications to respond to bidder questions and research bidder qualifications. 8 On November 29 in 2011, UES received 9 10 proposals from respondents, along with background 11 information and indicative pricing. All bidders that we had heard from were invited to submit final bids. 12 13 And, on December 6, 2011, UES received final pricing 14 from all those bidders. 15 UES then can computed its -- conducted 16 its analysis and computed its weighted average pricing 17 and compared the bids received. That information is 18 provided in Tab A - Confidential. It's on Bates stamp Page Number 008. 19 And, as a result of this process, who did UES select as 20 Q. 21 the winning bidder? (Bohan) UES selected DTE Energy as the winning bidder 22 Α.

A. (Bohan) UES selected DTE Energy as the winning bidder for the G1 Default Service supply beginning February 1st, 2012.

23

1 MR. EPLER: Okay. At this point, 2 Mr. Chairman, I'm going to ask a couple of questions that 3 may go into confidential material. I note that there is no one else in the room, other than parties to whom 4 5 confidential material can be shared. But we'll indicate that in our discussions with the court reporter for 6 7 marking the transcript. Thank you. BY MR. EPLER: 8 Why was DTE selected as the winning bidder? 9 10 (Bohan) DTE provided the lowest overall cost of service 11 for this period. And, how does the weighted average cost of power for 12 Q. 13 the three-month period under consideration compare to 14 the weighted average cost in the current period? (Bohan) If we could turn to Bates stamp Page 009, in 15 Α. 16 Exhibit 8, Confidential Tab A. At the bottom of the page, in the middle column, you'll see the dollar 17 18 amount "60.12". That is the weighted average cost for

the period under consideration, February 12th --February 2012 through April 2012. This is approximately a 20 percent decrease from the price currently in effect, which is \$75.00. And, in addition, in comparison to the same period in the prior year, February '11 through April 2011, it is a decrease

19

20

21

22

23

24

of just over 4 percent.

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. I'm moving away from the confidential subject
 matter. I'd like to change subjects, and ask you a
 couple of questions regarding the Renewable Portfolio
 Standards, or RPS. Are you aware that, in accordance
 with the Commission's 2500 rules, the requirements for
 Class I and Class II changes from 2011 to 2012?
 - A. (Bohan) Yes. It's my understanding that the percentage increase for Class I goes from 2 percent to 3 percent for the beginning of 2012, and, for Class II, increases from 0.08 to 0.15 percent.
- Q. And, do the RPS estimates included in your testimony reflect this?
 - A. (Bohan) Yes, they do. And, if we could turn to Schedule TMB-4, you will see that those percentages are reflected in the class percentages in that schedule.

 Class I is 3 percent; Class II, 0.15; Class III, 6.5; and Class IV is 1 percent.
- Q. And, could you please generally describe the market price assumptions you used in calculating the estimated RPS cost?
 - A. (Bohan) Certainly. In Schedule 4, you see that the Class I price for -- used here is \$32. That is based on an average of Renewable Energy Credit prices from

broker sheets for the period early September through early December 2011. For Class II, we have not changed the \$50 price that we have been using. The bid ask range for those RECs has been in the \$40 to \$60 range. So, we used an average. We stayed with the average of \$50. For Class III and Class IV, there really is no market data available. And, the Alternative Compliance Payment or ACP prices for New Hampshire have not yet been determined for 2012. So, we used the 2011 ACP rate, rounded to \$30.

- Q. Okay. One last area of inquiry. Are you aware that on December 6, 2011 the Company filed an Amendment to the Settlement Agreement in Docket DE 09-009?
- A. (Bohan) Yes.

- Q. And, I have distributed a copy of that amendment, and it's been premarked as "Exhibit Number 9". Could you briefly describe the purpose of this amendment.
- A. (Bohan) Yes. It is my understanding that, in the original settlement in DE 09-009, the Company and the Staff contemplated that the Company would share its confidential information with the Staff prior to executing its contracts for REC purchases. This has proven to generally be unworkable, as bidders do not always agree to hold their bids for a time period that

allows us to get that information to Staff, allow Staff to review it, and then respond to us, and then go ahead and execute a contract with a broker.

So, the amendment accordingly deletes the requirement to seek prior approval from Staff.

And, that's why we are submitting it here. The Company would still continue to provide its confidential analysis in the next Default Service filing as it has done in the past.

And, also, I'd just like to, you know, recognize that the Company realizes the burden of proof is on the Company to prove that the, you know, the solicitation, the analysis, and the execution of that contract was in the public interest.

Q. Okay. And, actually, there's one last issue. My recollection is, at the end of the last Default Service hearing, counsel for the Office of Consumer Advocate pointed out that the lead/lag study that the Company had filed was still subject to approval, and Staff had not indicated its position on that. My understanding is that the Staff has filed a memo indicating it has reviewed the lead/lag study, and that it has found that the Company's lead/lag study was performed in a manner and comport with the Settlement Agreement and the

- methodology that was agreed upon. Is that your understanding as well?
- 3 A. (Bohan) That is my understanding as well.

MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any questions for Ms. McNamara. So, I'm complete with the witness panel. Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Amidon.

MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Good morning.

WITNESS BOHAN: Good morning.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. AMIDON:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And, just for the record, Mr. Bohan, you may not be aware of the date that Staff filed that memorandum.

 But, just for the record and for the Commission's information, it was filed on November 18th. Do you have any reason to disagree with that?
- A. (Bohan) No. I know that was the date that it was filed.
- Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you. One of the questions that I had relates to something which was customarily provided by the Company in the past, where it compared the three-month average of costs for customers from the prior period with the prospective period contemplated under the filing, so that an illustration of the cost

[WITNESS PANEL: Bohan & McNamara]

- difference could be understood by the public. Do you
 have an estimate that would give me that comparison or
 does Ms. McNamara have a comparison that would deal
 with that information?
 - A. (McNamara) On a retail level, if you turn to Exhibit 7, in my testimony, which is Bates stamped Page 087, I show that the current Default Service Charge, based on a simple three-month average, is \$0.08236 per kilowatt-hour. And, the proposed rate for this period is, on average, \$0.06723 per kilowatt-hour, which is a decrease of \$0.01513 per kilowatt-hour.
- 12 Q. And, what is that change on a percentage basis?
- 13 A. (McNamara) I show it on a typical bill level. I don't 14 show it on a rate level. However, I can calculate it.
- 15 A. (Bohan) We can do the calculation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Yes. If the Commission doesn't mind? I just think it does provide a level of information that is easy for the customers and public to understand.
- 19 A. (McNamara) The difference of 0.01513 as a percent of
 20 the current period rate, which is a three-month average
 21 rate of 0.08236, is just slightly higher than an
 22 18 percent decrease.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. I know that's a little bit of detail, but I do appreciate you taking the time to do

nurchase of RFCs that the Company has made so far for

Mr. Bohan, I have some questions regarding the

16

2 purchase of RECs that the Company has made so far for

3 its 2011 compliance year. And, that is referenced in

4 Exhibit 8, at Page 011. And, this page, I noticed, was

5 not marked "confidential" as was every other page in

6 this exhibit. Could we just clarify whether this is

7 confidential or not?

8 A. (Bohan) Mr. Epler?

9 MR. EPLER: I believe --

10 **BY THE WITNESS:**

- 11 A. (Bohan) I think it's been standard practice that we've
- 12 had this in the confidential section.
- 13 BY MS. AMIDON:
- Q. Okay. So, there's nothing mysterious about that not --
- being missing from the header?
- 16 A. (Bohan) No.
- 17 Q. Okay. All right. And, I understand that this is in
- 18 the confidential record, so, to the extent that
- anything appears in the record, I believe the Company
- 20 will have an opportunity to address it in the final
- 21 transcript. But, having said that, this reflects the
- 22 purchase of RECs to date for the 2011 compliance year,
- is that correct?
- 24 A. (Bohan) That's correct.

- 1 Q. And, thus far, it looks like _____
- 2 _____ with Class I, Class III, and Class

- 3 IV RECs. What is -- could you remind me for -- and for
- 4 the record the process that the Company undertakes to
- 5 acquire RPS compliance. I believe that you issue two
- 6 RFPs a year, is that correct?
- 7 A. (Bohan) That's correct. We typically issue two RFPs;
- 8 one in the fall and then one in the spring. So, to
- 9 date, we have only issued our first REC RFP for 2011
- 10 RECs.
- 11 Q. And, when do you anticipate issuing the second RFP?
- 12 A. (Bohan) That should be done in the early 2012 time
- period, February time frame.
- 14 Q. Okay. And, then, the attempt will be made at that
- time, I understand, to complete your RPS compliance by
- 16 acquiring RECs?
- 17 A. (Bohan) Yes.
- 18 Q. And, if you cannot find RECs that are -- that have a
- market price below the ACP, what will the Company do
- 20 for compliance for 2011?
- 21 A. (Bohan) The Company would then have to pay the ACP in
- order to meet that requirement.
- 23 Q. And, I'm not sure if you have been involved in this
- 24 process. But, in the experience of the Company, have

1		the prices gone, for the RECs, increase the closer you
2		got to the reporting date, which is July 1 of each
3		year, or have the market prices and the availability of
4		RECs remained somewhat reliable or steady?
5	Α.	(Bohan) As I I've only been involved with this a
6		little bit, primarily this past June/July time frame.
7		But we've actually seen some instances where there's
8		been a glut, and the prices have actually come down a
9		bit. So, it's hard to speculate as to whether they
10		would go up or go down. But the possibility of both
11		exists.
12	Q.	Okay. If you would turn to Page 006 of Exhibit 8, I
13		notice that there this page states the number by
14		listing the bidders who responded to this particular
15		RFP.
16		who responded to this particular RFP, as
17		compared with prior such RFPs?
18	Α.	(Bohan) Well, the filing here shows that we had
19		bidders that responded
20		
21		
22		
23		provided us with a reasonable group from which
24		to, you know, evaluate that solicitation.

Q.	Okay. Thank you. Again, looking at Exhibit 8, and
	understanding that this is confidential, I want to look
	at Page 016, which indicates the "Summary of Financial
	Security Requirements" required by the various bidders.
	And, understanding that and, again, understanding
	this is confidential, but that Bidder B is the bidder
	who was selected by the Company. Could you explain for
	us well, let me just backtrack. I understand, from
	discussions with the Company right prior to this
	hearing, that the selected supplier, DTE, is the same
	supplier for the Large Customer Group for the prior
	period, the period prior to February the period
	beginning February 1, is that correct?
Α.	(Bohan) That is correct.
Q.	Okay. And, so,
	, is that fair to say?
Α.	(Bohan) That is correct.
Q.	And, Staff did not this didn't come to our attention
	until we looked at this particular filing. So, I
	understand that
	that relates to its contract for power
	sales to the Company. Do you have any information that
	you can provide today?
	A. Q.

```
1
              ____? Or, would you be able to provide that in a
 2
          record request? And, Staff would not necessarily think
 3
          that that would have to be filed before a order could
 4
 5
          be issued in this proceeding, but it's more for
          information and background, unless the Commission
 6
 7
          thinks otherwise.
          (Bohan) I'd be happy to provide that as a record
 8
     Α.
 9
          request.
10
          So, it's fair to say you're not prepared to answer that
     Q.
11
          question today?
12
          (Bohan) That's correct.
13
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, that record request
14
       would be confidential?
15
                         MS. AMIDON: Yes, it would be.
16
                         MR. EPLER: Yes.
17
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: We'll hold Exhibit
18
       Number 10.
19
                         (Exhibit 10 reserved)
                         MS. AMIDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20
21
                         MR. EPLER: We should be able to get
22
       that in I would think by the end of the day today. We'll
23
       do our best.
24
                                         Thank you.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ:
```

1 BY MS. AMIDON:

- Q. And, finally, I just wanted to point out a few aspects
- of this filing that may be of interest to the
- 4 Commission or maybe it's just of interest to me. Which
- is, first of all, at TMB-3, Mr. Bohan, you have the
- 6 "Customer Migration Report", is that correct?
- 7 A. (Bohan) That is correct.
- 8 Q. And, so, on Page 1 of that report, you -- well, Page 1
- 9 of the report provides information about the sales per
- 10 kilowatt-hour that have migrated to competitive supply.
- 11 Is that right?
- 12 A. (Bohan) That is correct.
- 13 Q. And, if we turn the page, that gives the number of
- customers in the various classes that have migrated to
- 15 competitive supply?
- 16 A. (Bohan) Again, that's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And, this again, as is customary, it goes -- it
- 18 covers 12 months, in this case November 2010 to
- 19 October 2011?
- 20 A. (Bohan) Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. And, the final thing I was
- interested in was the Renewable Service Option Program,
- which is in your Exhibit TMB-6, Bates stamp 080, which
- 24 -

1 A. (Bohan) Correction. I think it starts on 079.

- 2 Q. Oh. Okay. Thank you.
- 3 A. (Bohan) And, then continues on for four pages.
- 4 Q. That is correct. Thank you. So, at this point, how
- 5 would you characterize the participation in that
- 6 program?
- 7 A. (Bohan) Participation in the RSO Program has been relatively low.
- 9 Q. And, do you have any explanation for it or any activity
 10 that you're going to undertake to address the
- 11 participation?
- 12 A. (Bohan) Well, the Company will continue to, you know,
- promote the program. But we just have not had that
- much interest in it. My inclination is that a good
- part of that has to do with the current economic
- 16 conditions. It's an added cost. And, the customers
- just may be a little bit reluctant to take on that cost
- in this type of environment.
- 19 Q. And, are you aware that the Staff has set up a meeting
- in January to review your Company's, National Grid, and
- 21 Public Service Company of New Hampshire's Renewable
- 22 Service Option Program?
- 23 A. (Bohan) Yes. I'm aware of that meeting.
- 24 Q. All right. And, at that point, perhaps the Company

will have some recommendations or thoughts that they
can offer on how to stimulate participation in the
program?

A. (Bohan) Sounds good.

- Q. Thank you. Well, finally, I forgot one question, and that actually has to do with Mr. Furino's testimony from the last hearing regarding the Small Customer Group. At that point, I believe there was some discussion about whether the Company was thinking of deviating from the laddered portfolio approach to acquiring power for the Small Customer Group, or to adopting a different approach, maybe similar to National Grid's approach, where they procure power in six month increments at 100 percent of their supply needs. Are you aware of whether the Company has had any further discussions internally on this issue?
- A. (Bohan) Those discussions actually took place between Mr. Furino and myself. I was here at the last hearing, and I understand that, you know, what he mentioned.

 And, we've also had discussions on this. And, one project in front of me is to actually undertake the research necessary to make a review of the solicitation process and potentially provide any recommendations to the Commission about changing the solicitation process.

[WITNESS PANEL: Bohan & McNamara]

- Q. Do you have any idea when you would be making any recommendations to the Commission?
- A. (Bohan) Hopefully, before the next Default Service procurement.
- 5 Q. And, help me, is that in March?
- A. (Bohan) That would be in early 2012, yes. Our next solicitation would be in early March.

8 MS. AMIDON: Okay. Thank you. And,
9 that concludes my cross-examination. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.

11 BY CMSR. BELOW:

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. I'd like to clear up a couple of the date references on Pages 010 and 011 of the confidential Exhibit 8. On 011, the title of the table is "For Loads to be Served Beginning February 1, 2012", but then it says "Summary of REC Purchases for 2011 RPS Compliance". Is it, in fact, for the 2011 calendar year compliance?
- A. (Bohan) Bear with me for just a second. Okay. So, we're looking at Bates stamp Page 011?
- 20 Q. Yes. And, in the upper left, --
- 21 A. (Bohan) Yes.
- Q. -- you know, it starts off "UES Default Service RFP
 Issued November 8, 2011". Perhaps the next line is
 just part of the title, "For Loads to be Served

```
1
          Beginning February 1, 2012"?
 2
    Α.
          (Bohan) Yes. This isn't pertaining to loads to be
 3
          served beginning February 1st, 2012. This is a summary
          of REC purchases to date for 2011.
 4
 5
     Q.
          Okay. And, then, on the previous page, 010, that's
 6
          what it starts off by saying, but the last sentence
 7
          says: "Lastly, the schedule shows the percentage of
          2010 REC requirements purchased to date." Should that
 8
         be "2011"?
 9
10
          (Bohan) Yes, it should.
11
                         CMSR. BELOW: Okay. Thanks.
                         WITNESS BOHAN: My apologies for the
12
13
       oversight.
14
                         CMSR. BELOW: That's all.
15
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Anything further,
16
       Mr. Epler?
17
                         MR. EPLER: No, I don't believe so, Mr.
18
       Chairman.
                 Oh.
                       I'm sorry.
19
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: We have a volunteer.
20
                         MR. EPLER: I've just been corrected by
21
       my witness.
22
                         WITNESS BOHAN: I just want to clarify
23
       something. Ms. Amidon asked me when our next solicitation
24
       would be. I think our solicitation may go out earlier
```

```
1
       than that. You know, the results of that and everything
 2
       will take place in early to mid March. But the
 3
       solicitation itself is going out, you know, well in
       advance of that. So, that may be earlier, rather than
 4
 5
       later.
                         MS. AMIDON: Thank you.
 6
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Then, I think
       there's nothing further for the witnesses. So, you're
 8
 9
       excused.
                Thank you.
10
                         Is there any objection to striking the
11
       identifications and admitting the exhibits into evidence?
                         (No verbal response)
12
13
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection,
14
       they will be admitted into evidence. Is there anything
15
      prior to opportunity for closings?
16
                         (No verbal response)
17
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then,
18
       Ms. Amidon.
19
                         MS. AMIDON:
                                      Thank you. The Staff has
20
       reviewed the filing, and we believe that the Company
21
       followed the requirements that the Commission approved in
       Order Number 24,511, in preparing the RFP, soliciting the
22
23
      bids, evaluating the bids, and selecting a supplier. And,
24
       we believe the resulting rates are market-based.
                                                         So, we
```

recommend that the Commission approve the Petition.

Further, we request that the Commission accept and approve the Amendment to the RPS Settlement Agreement, which was filed in Docket 09-009, Unitil's 2009 Default Service proceeding. That was presented as "Exhibit 9" by the Company today.

And, finally, we ask that the Commission approve the lead/lag study and allow the results to be incorporated into the filings, based on the Staff's recommendation.

Finally, we have no objection to the Company's request for confidential protection of the information in Tab B -- I mean "Tab A", as that is customarily granted by the Commission in these dockets, and we don't see anything different in this particular filing.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Epler.

MR. EPLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We agree with the request for approvals that have been recited by the Staff. The approval of the Default Service filing as requested in the Petition, the Motion for Confidential Treatment, and approval of the Amendment to the Settlement Agreement in Docket DE 09-009, and the lead/lag study. Thank you very much.

```
1
                          CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you.
                                                              Then,
       we'll take the matter under advisement and close the
 2
 3
       hearing.
                          (Whereupon the hearing ended at 10:41
 4
 5
                          a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```